



**IEEE**

**IEC/IEEE TR 63572**

Edition 1.0 2026-03

# **TECHNICAL REPORT**

---

**Evaluation of absorbed power density related to human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless communication devices operating between 6 GHz and 300 GHz**

## CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	7
INTRODUCTION.....	9
1 Scope.....	10
2 Normative references .....	10
3 Terms and definitions .....	10
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....	14
4.1 Physical quantities.....	14
4.2 Constants .....	14
4.3 Abbreviated terms.....	14
5 Absorbed power density (epithelial power density).....	16
5.1 General.....	16
5.2 Specification of the spatial-average absorbed power density .....	16
5.3 Alternative definitions .....	18
6 Fundamentals of evaluating APD.....	19
6.1 General.....	19
6.2 Relevant characteristics of the human body .....	19
6.3 Evaluation of the APD.....	20
7 Measurement and computational phantoms and body models.....	20
7.1 Measurement phantom .....	20
7.2 Computational phantom models .....	22
7.3 Anatomical models of the human body.....	22
8 Measurement methods .....	22
8.1 General.....	22
8.2 Measurement evaluation procedures.....	23
8.3 DUT position and testing configurations .....	23
8.4 System check and validation.....	23
8.4.1 System check .....	23
8.4.2 System validation .....	23
9 Computational methods .....	23
9.1 General.....	23
9.2 Model validation.....	24
9.3 Evaluation of the averaged APD .....	25
9.3.1 Planar surfaces .....	25
9.3.2 Non-planar surfaces .....	26
10 Uncertainty evaluation.....	26
10.1 Measurement uncertainty.....	26
10.1.1 General .....	26
10.1.2 Calibration uncertainty.....	27
10.1.3 Probe/scanning uncertainty .....	28
10.1.4 Phantom uncertainty.....	28
10.1.5 Postprocessing uncertainty.....	28
10.1.6 DUT uncertainty.....	29
10.2 Computational uncertainty .....	29
10.2.1 General .....	29
10.2.2 Uncertainty contributions due to the computational parameters .....	30

10.2.3	Uncertainty contribution of the computational representation of the DUT model .....	31
10.2.4	Uncertainty contribution of the computational representation of the phantom model.....	32
10.2.5	Uncertainty of the maximum exposure evaluation .....	33
10.2.6	Uncertainty budget .....	33
10.3	Combined measurement and computational uncertainty.....	34
11	Reporting .....	35
11.1	General.....	35
11.2	Items to be recorded in measurement exposure evaluation reports .....	35
11.3	Items recorded in computational exposure evaluation reports .....	36
Annex A (informative)	Human skin from EMF exposure perspective .....	37
A.1	Structure of the human skin from the perspective of EMF exposure .....	37
A.2	Dielectric properties of the skin tissues .....	37
A.3	Tissue-equivalent and reflectivity-based human models .....	37
Annex B (informative)	Exposure in terms of the absorbed power density.....	40
B.1	Previous work .....	40
B.1.1	Incident and transmitted power density in one-dimensional skin models .....	40
B.1.2	Three-dimensional modelling of the absorbed power density .....	40
B.1.3	Heating factor.....	41
B.1.4	Measurement studies.....	42
B.2	Relationship with incident power density .....	42
Annex C (informative)	Review of the metrics relevant to the absorbed power density.....	43
C.1	General.....	43
C.2	Physical metrics.....	43
C.2.1	Absorbed power .....	43
C.2.2	Transmitted power .....	44
C.2.3	Reflected power.....	44
C.2.4	Temperature .....	47
C.3	Averaging metrics .....	48
C.3.1	Evaluation surface .....	48
C.3.2	Spatial averaging.....	48
C.3.3	Temporal averaging.....	50
Annex D (informative)	Absorbed power density for modulated signals.....	51
Annex E (informative)	APD assessment using conventional SAR measurement systems .....	54
Annex F (informative)	APD assessment using E-field probes and band-limited phantoms.....	55
F.1	General.....	55
F.2	Phantom design .....	55
F.3	Probe design .....	58
F.4	Probe calibration.....	59
F.5	Induced E-field scanning and APD reconstruction .....	60
F.6	Uncertainty .....	60
F.7	Validation.....	62
Annex G (informative)	APD evaluation based on free-space E-field measurement and reflectivity-based phantom .....	64
G.1	General.....	64
G.2	Reflectivity-based phantoms with enhanced transmission .....	65

G.3	Reconstruction of APD from the measured E-field.....	66
G.4	Measurement system.....	68
G.5	Validation using reference antennas.....	68
G.6	Uncertainty.....	72
Annex H (informative) APD evaluation from infrared-based temperature measurements using reflectivity-based phantoms.....		74
H.1	General.....	74
H.2	Infrared imaging.....	75
H.3	Reflectivity-based phantoms optimised for infrared measurements.....	75
H.4	Reconstruction of APD from thermal measurements.....	76
H.5	Measurement system.....	79
H.6	Application examples.....	80
H.7	Validation using reference antennas.....	81
H.8	Uncertainty.....	84
Annex I (informative) APD assessment by backward transformation from the measured E-field behind the low-loss phantom.....		86
I.1	General.....	86
I.2	Low-loss phantom.....	86
I.3	Reconstruction of the APD by backward transformation.....	86
Annex J (informative) APD assessment using hybrid electromagnetic and thermal measurements.....		88
Annex K (informative) Probe technologies.....		89
K.1	General.....	89
K.2	Temperature-based probes.....	89
K.3	Radio-frequency electromagnetic probes.....	89
Annex L (informative) APD assessment using measurements of incident electric field with source and phantom modelling.....		90
L.1	Methodology.....	90
L.2	Validation of OTAA for incident power density measurements.....	91
L.3	Validation of the OTAA for APD measurements.....	93
L.4	Practical use case application.....	94
L.5	Measurement of devices using digitally modulated signals.....	98
Annex M (informative) Validation of the measurement methods.....		99
M.1	General.....	99
M.2	Validation sources and target values.....	99
M.2.1	Cavity-fed dipole arrays.....	99
M.2.2	Pyramidal horns with slot arrays.....	100
Annex N (informative) Computational algorithms for the calculation of the APD.....		101
N.1	FDTD.....	101
N.2	FEM.....	101
Annex O (informative) Code verification.....		102
Bibliography.....		103
Figure 1 – Minimum size of the planar APD evaluation surface.....		22
Figure A.1 – Reflection and transmission of an electromagnetic wave from the human body and phantoms above 6 GHz.....		38
Figure C.1 – Incident power density as a function of separation distance $d$ and an antenna input power of 23 dBm.....		45

Figure C.2 – Absorbed power density as a function of separation distance $d$ and an antenna input power of 23 dBm.....	46
Figure C.3 – Distribution of the psAPD at a separation distance $d = 10$ mm .....	46
Figure C.4 – Power transmission coefficients as a function of antenna to skin separation distance $d$ .....	47
Figure D.1 – Measurement results in time domain and converted to frequency domain .....	51
Figure D.2 – Sine wave associated with a waveform CW vs modulated signal .....	52
Figure D.3 – Waveform power density integrated over the bandwidth .....	52
Figure D.4 – Signal-to-noise ratio for same waveform with different measurement settings: 40 dB (top), 20 dB (centre), and 10 dB (bottom) .....	53
Figure F.1 – Cross-section of the phantom for assessing APD in the skin and skin model .....	56
Figure F.2 – Comparison of the APD in the phantom and the layered skin surface using plane-waves versus normalized $x$ component of wave vector ( $k_x/k_0$ where $k_0$ is the free space wave vector).....	57
Figure F.3 – psAPD in dB (0 dB [1,0 times] corresponding to $1 \text{ W/m}^2$ ) averaged over $1 \text{ cm}^2$ of the skin surface as well as in the phantom as a function of the separation between the dipole antenna and the phantom surface.....	58
Figure F.4 – Measurement validation of the APD probe axial receiving pattern .....	59
Figure F.5 – Calibration setup using an open-ended waveguide radiating into the TSL .....	59
Figure F.6 – Decay of the APD probe signal inside the calibration setup matched to the computational and analytical target by the probe sensitivity factor; the sensitivity limit (noise floor) of the APD probe is also shown.....	60
Figure F.7 – Cosine similarity of the reflection coefficient of a standard gain horn computed and measured at varied distances in front of the APD phantom .....	63
Figure F.8 – Comparison of the measured and computationally determined APD of a standard gain horn at 30 GHz in touch against the APD phantom .....	63
Figure G.1 – Schematic representation of the APD assessment method based on free-space E-field vector measurement, using a reflectivity-based phantom .....	64
Figure G.2 – Reflectivity-based phantom characteristics vs incidence angle at 60 GHz for TM and TE polarizations .....	65
Figure G.3 – Schematic representation of the measurement setup.....	68
Figure G.4 – Reference antennas (see IEC/IEEE 63195-1) used for validation.....	69
Figure G.5 – Dielectric loading of DUT by reflectivity-based phantom and skin .....	69
Figure G.6 – APD distribution for the reference slotted horn antenna at 60 GHz .....	70
Figure G.7 – APD as a function of the evaluation distance $d$ for the horn antenna.....	71
Figure H.1 – Schematic representation of the APD assessment method based on IR-based heat dynamics measurement of a phantom of thickness $w$ at distance $d$ .....	75
Figure H.2 – The power reflection coefficient of the phantom for TM and TE polarizations at 60 GHz.....	76
Figure H.3 – Schematic representation of the measurement setup.....	80
Figure H.4 – Example of APD spatial distributions at $z = 0$ plane retrieved from IR measurements for a $2 \times 2$ patch antenna array .....	81
Figure H.5 – Example of spatial distributions of APD in the $z = 0$ mm plane retrieved from IR measurements for a conical horn antenna .....	81
Figure H.6 – APD distribution for the horn antenna at 60 GHz; the accepted power is 20 mW .....	83
Figure H.7 – APD as a function of $d$ for the reference horn antenna: The results are normalized to the antenna input power of 10 mW.....	84

Figure L.1 – Flowchart of the procedure for measurements of the absorbed power density by means of an OTA augmented approach .....	91
Figure L.2 – OTA spherical scanning measurement setups used in [85] .....	92
Figure L.3 – Comparison of the psAPD for the cavity-fed dipole array (IEC/IEEE 63195-1) at 30 GHz obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared with full-wave computations .....	94
Figure L.4 – Comparison of the psAPD for the slotted-horn array (IEC/IEEE 63195-1) at 30 GHz obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared with full-wave computations .....	94
Figure L.5 – Model of a portable millimetre-wave device and example of far-field directivity .....	95
Figure L.6 – Comparison of the psAPD for a mmW portable device obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared to full-wave computations .....	96
Figure L.7 – Comparison of the psAPD for a mmW portable device obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared to full-wave computations .....	97
Figure L.8 – Comparison of the spatial averaged absorbed power density distribution ( $1 \text{ cm}^2$ ) on the phantom surface for the portable device at 1,25 mm distance .....	97
Table 1 – An example of budget of the uncertainty contributions of measurement evaluations .....	27
Table 2 – Budget of the uncertainty contributions of the computational algorithm for the validation setup or testing setup .....	30
Table 3 – Budget of the uncertainty of the developed model of the setup .....	33
Table 4 – Computational uncertainty budget .....	34
Table 5 – Example of uncertainty budget of the exposure assessment combining measurements and computational methods .....	35
Table D.1 – 5G bands .....	52
Table F.1 – Thickness and dielectric properties (30 GHz) of the skin tissue layer model used to estimate APD in the skin .....	56
Table F.2 – Properties of the optimized dosimetric phantom emulating the APD in the human skin from 24 GHz to 33 GHz .....	57
Table F.3 – Changes in the mean value of the ratio of APD in the phantom and the layered skin model for all angles of incidence .....	58
Table F.4 – APD bandlimited phantom uncertainty budget .....	61
Table G.1 – $\Delta_j(i)$ [%] for free space E-field method .....	72
Table G.2 – APD uncertainty budget for free-space E-field method .....	73
Table H.1 – $\Delta_j(i)$ [%] for infrared-based temperature method .....	84
Table H.2 – APD uncertainty budget for infrared-based temperature method .....	85
Table L.1 – psIPD values for the 30 GHz HSA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 .....	92
Table L.2 – psIPD values for the 60 GHz HSA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 .....	93
Table L.3 – psIPD values for the 30 GHz CDA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 .....	93
Table L.4 – psIPD values for the 60 GHz CDA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 .....	93
Table L.5 – Dielectric phantom parameters for the evaluation of the absorbed power density with the OTA augmented approach .....	93
Table L.6 – Comparison psIPD values obtained for the portable device mock-up based on full-wave computations and OTA augmented approach .....	95

Table M.1 – Target values for the cavity-fed dipole array at 10 GHz, 30 GHz, 60 GHz, and 90 GHz computed at a distance of 2 mm in front of the reference skin model normalized to a TRP of 0 dBm .....	99
Table M.2 – Target values for the pyramidal horns with slot arrays at 10 GHz, 30 GHz, 60 GHz and 90 GHz computed at a distance of 2 mm in front of the reference skin model normalized to a TRP of 0 dBm .....	100

# Evaluation of absorbed power density related to human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless communication devices operating between 6 GHz and 300 GHz

## FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC document(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within IEEE Societies and subcommittees of IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Board of Governors. IEEE develops its standards through an accredited consensus development process, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. IEEE standards are documents developed by volunteers with scientific, academic, and industry-based expertise in technical working groups. Volunteers are not necessarily members of IEEE or IEEE SA and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards. *IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers (see <https://standards.ieee.org/ipr/disclaimers.html> for more information).*

IEC collaborates closely with IEEE in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations. This Dual Logo International Standard was jointly developed by the IEC and IEEE under the terms of that agreement.

- 2) The formal decisions of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees. The formal decisions of IEEE on technical matters, once consensus within IEEE Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees has been reached, is determined by a balanced ballot of materially interested parties who indicate interest in reviewing the proposed standard. Final approval of the IEEE standards document is given by the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board.
- 3) IEC/IEEE Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees/IEEE Societies in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC/IEEE Publications is accurate, IEC or IEEE cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications (including IEC/IEEE Publications) transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC/IEEE Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC and IEEE do not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC and IEEE are not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or IEEE or their directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of technical committees and IEC National Committees, or volunteers of IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board, for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC/IEEE Publication or any other IEC or IEEE Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that implementation of this IEC/IEEE Publication may require use of material covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. IEC or IEEE shall not be held responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patent Claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

IEC/IEEE TR 63572 was prepared by IEC technical committee 106: Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure, in cooperation with the International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety of the IEEE Standards Association, under the IEC/IEEE Dual Logo Agreement between IEC and IEEE. It is a Technical Report.

This document is published as an IEC/IEEE Dual Logo standard.

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following IEC documents:

Draft	Report on voting
106/705/DTR	106/720/RVDTR

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Report is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, available at [www.iec.ch/members\\_experts/refdocs](http://www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs). The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at [www.iec.ch/publications/](http://www.iec.ch/publications/).

The IEC Technical Committee and IEEE Technical Committee have decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under [webstore.iec.ch](http://webstore.iec.ch) in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn, or
- revised.

## INTRODUCTION

This document describes computational and measurement methods for the evaluation of absorbed (epithelial) power density related to human exposures due to electromagnetic field (EMF) transmitting devices operating in close proximity to the user at frequencies between 6 GHz and 300 GHz. The types of devices include but are not limited to mobile telephones, tablets, laptops, etc.

For portable devices, the specific absorption rate (SAR) assessment standard for wireless devices used in close proximity to the user IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 [1] is specified up to 10 GHz. The IEC/IEEE 63195-1 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2 standards on the assessment of the incident power density (IPD) for wireless devices used in close proximity to the user are valid from 6 GHz to 300 GHz. For exposure to EMF emitted from base stations, IEC 62232:2022 [2] specifies methods to assess the compliance boundaries based on reference levels and basic restrictions for a frequency range from 110 MHz to 300 GHz.

The absorbed power density (APD) is considered as the relevant local basic restriction and exposure metric above 6 GHz in the ICNIRP 2020 guidelines [3] and in the Health Canada Notice [4]. Similarly, IEEE Std C95.1™-2019 [5] requires equivalent assessment of epithelial power density above 6 GHz. IEC PAS 63446 [6] describes methods to convert SAR results into APD in frequency range of 6 GHz to 10 GHz.

IEC TC 106 and IEEE ICES TC 34 (IEC/IEEE) have previously noted the necessity to extend compliance assessment standards for portable devices to cover the basic restrictions on APD. To ensure timely publication of the available knowledge on the computational and measurement technologies on APD assessment, IEC TC 106 and IEEE ICES TC 34 decided on a two-step strategy to ensure that the fundamental approaches are available.

In 2023 and 2024, the focus was on the development of a Technical Report (this document), specifying the state of the art of computational and measurement techniques and test approaches for evaluating portable devices based on absorbed power density measurements from 6 GHz to 300 GHz.

Upon drafting this document, a Technical Report, a new work item proposal has been initiated to develop a Dual Logo International Standard (IS) jointly among IEEE and IEC on the computational and measurement procedures based on leveraging the content of this document.

This document is an informative document that serves as the starting point for the International Standards on computational and measurement assessment procedures of the APD. The methodologies and approaches described in this document can be useful for the assessment of APD in the early phase of computational and measurement technology development. It also contains recommendations for future standardization work and highlights areas that require additional investigation or consideration.

## 1 Scope

This document describes the computation and measurement techniques and test approaches for evaluating the local peak absorbed power density (pAPD) and peak spatial average absorbed (epithelial) power density (psAPD) induced in a human body from a wireless device transmitting in close proximity to the user at frequencies between 6 GHz and 300 GHz.

This document provides information on the testing of portable devices transmitting at distances close to the human body, such as mobile phones, tablets, wearable devices, etc. The information in this document is also relevant to exposure in the close proximity of base stations.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC/IEEE 63195-1, *Assessment of power density of human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless devices in close proximity to the head and body (frequency range of 6 GHz to 300 GHz) - Part 1: Measurement procedure*

IEC/IEEE 63195-2, *Assessment of power density of human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless devices in close proximity to the head and body (frequency range of 6 GHz to 300 GHz) - Part 2: Computational procedure*

## Bibliography

- [1] IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020, *Measurement Procedure for the Assessment of Specific Absorption Rate of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Fields from Hand-Held and Body-Worn Wireless Communication Devices: Human Models, Instrumentation and Procedures (Frequency Range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz)*
- [2] IEC 62232:2022, *Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure*
- [3] International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), Guidelines for limiting exposure to electromagnetic fields (100 kHz to 300 GHz), *Health Physics*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 483–524, 2020
- [4] Government of Canada, Health Canada Safety Note, *Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz*, <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/health-risks-safety/radiation/types-sources/radiofrequency-fields/notice-localized-human-exposure-limits-range-6-ghz-300-ghz.html>, online, accessed 2024-06-21
- [5] IEEE Std C95.1-2019, *IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz*
- [6] IEC PAS 63446:2022, *Conversion method of specific absorption rate to absorbed power density for the assessment of human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields from wireless devices in close proximity to the head and body - Frequency range of 6 GHz to 10 GHz*
- [7] IEC 60050-121:2019 *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) - Part 121: Electromagnetism*, available at <https://www.electropedia.org>
- [8] IEC 60050-712:1992, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) - Part 712: Antennas*, available at <https://www.electropedia.org>
- [9] Neufeld, E., Carrasco, E., Murbach, M., Balzano, Q., Christ, A., & Kuster, N. (2018), Theoretical and numerical assessment of maximally allowable power-density averaging area for conservative electromagnetic exposure assessment above 6 GHz. *Bioelectromagnetics*, 39(8), 617-630
- [10] Christ, A., Samaras, T., Neufeld, E., & Kuster, N. (2020), Limitations of incident power density as a proxy for induced electromagnetic fields, *Bioelectromagnetics*, 41(5), 348-359
- [11] Samaras, T., Christ, A., & Kuster, N. (2021), Compliance assessment of the epithelial or absorbed power density below 10 GHz using SAR measurement systems. *Bioelectromagnetics*, 42(6), 484-490
- [12] Christ, A., Samaras, T., Neufeld, E., and Kuster, N. (2020), Transmission coefficient of power density into skin tissue between 6 and 300 GHz, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 192(1), 113-118
- [13] Li, K., Sasaki, K., Watanabe, S., & Shirai, H. (2019), Relationship between power density and surface temperature elevation for human skin exposure to electromagnetic waves with oblique incidence angle from 6 GHz to 1 THz, *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 64(6), 065016